

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

Watershed Improvement - Wildlife Individual

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: Watershed Improvement - Wildlife Individual		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:	Telephone:	
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds, groundwater contamination or point source contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable amount of ground or surface water conservation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
Conservation Planning	
1. Does the cooperator have a current RMS plan on the CTU for the EQIP project ?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Does the cooperator have one or more contracts that is behind schedule?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Does the cooperator have one or more contracts that have been cancelled, or terminated (or are in the process of being cancelled/terminated)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Does the plan address control of an invasive species identified by a state, county, or local government or by a local Cooperative Weed Management Area as being a noxious species?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Is this project in an area that is covered by an approved areawide plan as defined by the National Planning Procedures Handbook?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Coordinated Effort	
6. Has project been approved by local UPCD Regional Team?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Does project address a specific component of an existing Endangered Species Recovery Plan, DWR Species Management Plan, or Sage Grouse Local Workgroup Plan?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Proximity to an Area Protected for Wildlife	
8. Is the project within or contiguous with an area protected for wildlife?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Is the project within 1 mile of an area protected for wildlife?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Partner Contribution	
10. Have partners committed in writing to contribute, both, technical and financial assistance > 50% of the total project cost?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
11. Have partners committed in writing to contribute both technical and financial assistance 25-50% of the total project cost?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
12. Have partners committed in writing to contribute both technical and financial assistance 10-24% of the total project cost?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Project Monitoring or Research	
13. Will project have an experimental design studied by a university?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
14. Will project have ecological monitoring conducted by a university, state or federal agency or NGO?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Priority Habitat Types and Species Benefitted	
15. Will the project benefit 3 or more targeted habitat types?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
16. Will the project benefit exactly 2 targeted habitat types?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
17. Will the project benefit only 1 targeted habitat type?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
18. Will the project benefit at least one Priority 1 species?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
19. Will the project benefit at least one Priority 2, but no Priority 1 species?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
20. Will the project benefit at least one Priority 3, but no Priority 1 or 2 species?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
-----------------	-----------

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
-------------------	-----------

Ranking Score

Efficiency: Local Issues: State Issues: National Issues: Final Ranking Score:
--

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

Notes:

NRCS Representative: Signature Date:	Application Signature Not Required for Contract Development unless required by State policy: Signature Date:
---	---